

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	)	Atty. Docket: FUKUMOTO7
Toshiro FUKUMOTO et al.	)	Conf. No.: 9731
U.S. Appln. No.: 10/584,238	)	Art Group: 2612
Filed: June 26, 2006	)	Washington, D.C.
For: FACILITATED SAFE CAR	)	Examiner: S. YACOB
STEERING DEVICE	)	May 23, 2008

REPLY TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Customer Service Window  
Randolph Building, Mail Stop Amendment  
401 Dulany Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

Replying to the Examiner's April 29, 2008

Restriction Requirement, Applicant hereby respectfully and provisionally elects Group I for further prosecution on the merits at this time, without prejudice and without traverse, said Group I being directed to a system for vehicles to specify the running location on the road and presently comprising claims 1-7, and 10-11.

Applicant accepts the PTO ruling that the two groups are patentably distinct from one another, i.e. each is non-obvious from the other.


In re of: 10/584,238

If, upon examination of the Group I claims, the PTO finds that these are patentable, Applicant hereby gives authority to the PTO to delete the Group II claims 8 and 9 from the present application, without prejudice to Applicant's rights under 35 U.S.C. 121, 120 and 119. Applicant respectfully awaits the result of a first examination on the merits. Favorable consideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.  
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By

  
Norman J. Latker  
Registration No. 19,963

NJL:ayy:ma

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197

Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\BN\O\Ohta\Fukumoto7\pto\2008-05-23-RestrictResponse.doc